

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/019, 087
 02/05/98
 ENOMOTO
 H
 TIJ-24816

 EXAMINER

IM62/1123

WILLIAM B KEMPLER TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474 MS 3999 DALLAS TX 75265 PEREZ RAMOS, V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1765

DATE MAILED:

11/23/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	CAI GROUP Art Unit Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-	
•••	are on the cover effect beforeast the correspondence address
Period for Response A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by description.	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS rs, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely lefault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/14/9	9
This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 035 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
∠ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
	·
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	-
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	is □ approved □ disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. 	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. 	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 	is □ approved □ disapproved.
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber)
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) iternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) iternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received:	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 09/019087

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuji (U.S.5,514,625).

In regard to claims 1-3, Tsuji teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising: forming an insulating film over a substrate (col. 5, lines 7-10), forming a first mask on said insulating film (col. 5, line 24) and forming a resist film on the first mask film (col. 5, line 18). This resist film serves as a mask during the etching process (col. 5, lines 32-35) to form an opening (col. 5, lines 29-31), which is followed by the formation of trenches on said insulating film (col. 5, lines 26-28). Furthermore, Tsuji teaches the formation of a second mask film (col. 5, line 46) and its use as an etching mask during the formation of connecting holes (col. 5, lines 44-50). Tsuji also teaches the formation of a wiring layer by burying an electroconductive material in the trenches (col. 7, lines 19-23).

Unlike the claimed invention, Tsuji does not disclose the removal of the first and second mask films, nor does he disclose the trenches having sidewalls.

Application/Control Number: 09/019087

Art Unit: 1765

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuji by removing the first and second mask films during the semiconductor manufacturing process, since it is well known in the art of semiconductor manufacturing that masks are meant to be removed after etching and other processes. Furthermore, although not disclosed, it is obvious to one skilled in the art that the trenches in Tsuji have sidewalls, as there is no other possible way for a trench to exist known to the Examiner.

Page 3

In regard to claims 4, 7 and 9, these claims differ from Tsuji by specifying various materials for the insulating film. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuji by using different materials to form the insulating film in anticipation of an expected result, since the use of such different materials is well known in the art of semiconductor manufacturing.

In regard to claims 5-6, 8 and 10-11, these claims differ from Tsuji by specifying that the holes are in contact with the lower electrodes in the capacitors of the memory cells, and by disclosing that capacitors are set for storing information. It is the Examiner's position that these are conditions well known in the semiconductor art, and that it would have been obvious to modify Tsuji by disclosing the above-mentioned information.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/99 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Application/Control Number: 09/019087

Art Unit: 1765

Applicant's arguments have been addressed as part of the Claim Rejections - 35 USC

§ 103 section.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Vanessa Perez-Ramos whose telephone number is (703) 306-5510.

VPR

November 21, 1999

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700